

Tapia Forest, Madagascar

Assessment by: Moat, J., & Bachman, S.

Overall risk category **EN**

NOT EVALUATED	DATA DEFICIENT	LEAST CONCERN	NEAR THREATENED	VULNERABLE	ENDANGERED	CRITICALLY ENDANGERED	COLLAPSED
NE	DD	LC	NT	VU	EN	CR	CO

[View on www.iucnrle.org](http://www.iucnrle.org)

Citation:

Keith, D.A., Rodríguez, J.P., Rodríguez-Clark, K.M., Nicholson, E., Aapala, K., Alonso, A., ... Zambrano-Martínez, S 2013. Scientific Foundations for an IUCN Red List of Ecosystems.en. PLoS One 8. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0062111>

Copyright: 2013 IUCN Red List of Ecosystems

Reproduction of this publication for educational or other non-commercial purposes is authorized without prior written permission from the copyright holder provided the source is fully acknowledged.

if you see any errors or have any questions or suggestions on what is shown in this document, please provide us with [feedback](#) so that we can correct or extend the information provided.

Scope of assessment: Sub-global

Tapia Forest, Madagascar

Overall risk category

EN

Assessment Type



Citation:

Keith, D.A., Rodríguez, J.P., Rodríguez-Clark, K.M., Nicholson, E., Aapala, K., Alonso, A., ... Zambrano-Martínez, S 2013. Scientific Foundations for an IUCN Red List of Ecosystems.en. PLoS One 8. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0062111>

Link:

<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0062111>

Keywords:

Biodiversity loss, Conservation Biology, Ecological communities, Environmental - chance, Extinction risk, Food-web perspective, Global synthesis, Habitat loss, Relative resilience, Vegetation classification

Ecosystem Description

Tapia Forest is recognised as a major vegetation type in the Atlas of the Vegetation of Madagascar (Rabehevitra and Rakotoarisoa 2007). It is a stratified, evergreen forest with a low (8 - 12 m) semi-continuous tree canopy, an understorey of ericoid shrubs, frequent lianas but few epiphytes, and a moderate to rich herbaceous component dominated by grasses (Rabehevitra and Rakotoarisoa 2007). The most characteristic specie is *Uapaca bojeri* (Tapia). Tapia Forest typically occurs at 500-1,800 m elevation above sea level on dissected terrain, within sub-humid to sub-arid climates (Cornet 1974) on the western and central parts of the main plateau of Madagascar. Fire is a key element in the distribution and diversity of Tapia Forest, influencing both survival and regeneration of its component species (Rabehevitra and Rakotoarisoa 2007). Charcoal manufacture, firewood, timber collecting, grazing and change in fire regime are the major threats for this ecosystem.

Classification

IUCN Habitats Classification Scheme

- 1. Forest
 - 1.5. Forest - Subtropical/tropical dry

IUCN Global Typology

- Terrestrial
 - T1. Tropical-subtropical forests
 - T1.2 Tropical-subtropical dry forests and scrubs

Distribution

Tapia Forest occurs on the western and central parts of the main plateau of Madagascar. Substantial stands of Tapia Forest occur in the south and in Isalo National Park. Smaller patches occur around Ambatofinandrahana and surrounding areas (central Madagascar west of Fianarantsoa) and Morarano (north-east of Antananarivo).

System

Terrestrial

Biogeographic Realm

Afrotropical

Countries

Madagascar

Geographic Region

South Madagascar/Center Madagascar

Characteristic Native Biota

Tapia Forest is a forest comprising an evergreen canopy of 10-12 m, with an understorey of ericoid shrubs. Lianas are frequent, but epiphytes are few. The herbaceous layer is dominated by grasses (Rabehevitra and Rakotoarisoa 2007). Tapia Forest is floristically diverse compared to its surrounding vegetation of denuded grassland and wooded grasslands of the high plateaux. The plants are mostly sclerophyllous, hairy or heliophilous. The tree bark in this type is frequently thick, and resistant to fire. Common canopy families are Cunoniaceae, Anacardiaceae, Rubiaceae, Ericaceae, Sarcolaenaceae and Asteraceae, while two endemic plant families (Sarcolaenaceae and Asteropeiaceae). Poaceae and Asteraceae dominate the herbaceous stratum with *Pachypodium rossulatum* occurring frequently on rock outcrops. Three mammals occurring within Tapia Forests are known to be hunted: *Echinops telfairi*, *Setifer setuosus* and *Tenrec ecaudatus* (Kull 2003a). Insects occurring within this ecosystem include landibe (*Borocera cajani*) or wild silk moth (Razafimanantsoa et al. 2012).

Taxa

Agarista spp., *Asteropeia labatii*, *Borocera cajani*, *Echinops telfairi*, *Pachypodium rossulatum*, *Pentachlaena latifolia*, *Sarcolaena oblongifolia*, *Schizolaena microphylla*, *Setifer setuosus*, *Tenrec ecaudatus*, *Uapaca bojeri*, *Weinmannia* spp

Abiotic Features

Tapia Forest typically occurs at 500-1,800 meters elevation above sea level on dissected terrain. Soil characteristics are likely to determine the distribution of the ecosystem (Kull 2003, 2004). The forest is on eroded ruiniform sandstone, where acidity tends to be high. Tapia Forest occurs within sub-humid to sub-arid climates (Cornet 1974), although the average annual rainfall ranges from 900 mm up to 1,400 mm with 80–90 rainy days (Gade 1985). Tapia Forest tends to occupy rain shadow microclimates, where rainfall is lower and temperatures are higher than the surrounding areas. Following the Köppen-Geiger scheme Tapia Forest occurs typically in the tropical savanna to humid subtropical climate.

Biotic Processes

Fire is highly influential in the distribution and diversity of Tapia Forest, influencing both survival and regeneration of its component species (Rabehevitra and Rakotoarisoa 2007), this has both positive and negative aspects. Other key factors that determine the distribution of Tapia are not clear, although soil factors are likely to have an influence, as Tapia Forest is often found on nutrient-poor rocky soils. Humans also influence the dynamics of Tapia Forest, with burning practices likely to have involved significant modification of the system over the 1500 years of human occupation. Tapia (*Uapaca bojeri*) is an endemic species valued for its edible fruit which is high in Vitamin C, also the bark is used in Malagasy folk medicine to relieve diarrhea (Gade 1985). These uses may influence the dispersal of seeds. This species is highly prized because it hosts silk worms and thereby supports village-based silk industries. Tapia usually resprouts from roots and stumps, but can also regenerate through rhizomes and via seed dispersal (Kull 2003).

Threatening Processes

Tapia Forest occurs throughout Madagascar's plateau areas, which has ensured its exposure to multiple human pressures including charcoal manufacture, firewood and timber collecting, grazing and change in fire regime (Rabehevitra and Rakotoarisoa 2007). Tapia Forest is used for charcoal production in several Madagascar regions. Although it is not ideal for charcoal production due to its slow growth and low energy output, the human pressure and lack of any alternatives have dramatically increased the charcoal production from Tapia since the 1970's. Individual Tapia trees have the ability to regenerate vegetatively when burnt. Kull (2003, 2004) argues that Tapia Forest owes its existence to fire, and he rebuffs the assertion that the woodlands are reducing with repeated burning. However, if fires are too frequent, they limit regeneration and species diversity of the herb layer can become very low. Non-native species such as *Pinus* sp. and *Eucalyptus* sp. can encroach on Tapia Forest and may affect soil characteristics, flammability and shade. Further work is needed to understand the extent of this disturbance (Kull 2003).

Collapse

Tapia Forest will collapse when its mapped distribution declines to zero.

Ecosystem Risk Assessment

Assessment Protocol	IUCN Red List of Ecosystems Category and Criteria	Last Assessed
IUCN RLE v2.0	Endangered A3	2013

Justification

The Tapia Forest global assessment classified it as Endangered. Limited data exists to quantify the current and future rates of decline in area of distribution of Tapia Forest. However, the increase in human population and activity since 1750 was assumed to have caused that most (though not all) of the decline in the distribution of Tapia Forest. The decline in distribution since 1750 was therefore assumed to be within the bounds of 70% to 90%. Thus, Tapia Forest was classified as Endangered under subcriterion A3.

Criterion A

EN

Summary

The increase in human population and activity since 1750 was assumed to have caused that most (though not all) of the decline in the distribution of Tapia Forest. Allowing for a small amount of over-prediction and deforestation prior to 1750, and given qualitative evidence of ongoing declines from the 1990s to present, the decline in distribution since 1750 was therefore assumed to be within the bounds of 70% to 90%. Tapia Forest was thus assessed as Endangered under sub-criterion A3.

Risk Category

EN

Subcriterion	Category	Justification
--------------	----------	---------------

A1

DD

Limited data exists to quantify the current rate of decline in area of distribution of Tapia Forest, as this ecosystem is not well represented in some country-wide mapping of the region. Moat and Smith (2007) use Harper et al. (2007) to show the general trend for the main vegetation types of Madagascar, they quote a 43% reduction in Tapia Forest for a 25 year period from 1975 to 2000. However, vegetation types that occur characteristically in small patches (of which Tapia is included) are problematic to classify (Moat and Smith 2007), and the same areas were often classified very differently due to differences in classification and mapping methods. Inspection of the data of Harper et al. (2007) shows that very little of the Tapia was mapped in initial prospections in 1975, probably because this vegetation can be sparser and occurs in smaller patches compared to the dry and humid forest vegetation types, which were the main vegetation types in that study. The estimates of decline in distribution based on a comparison of mapping from different sources are thus too uncertain to use for assessment of sub-criterion A1. Further work is needed to clarify the recent changes in Tapia Forest extent. As a result, the ecosystem is assessed as Data Deficient under sub-criterion A1.

Key Indicators in detail

Evidence of Continuing Decline: Stable or Increasing

Evidence of Threatening Processes: No

A2a

DD

Proposed increases in number and area of protected areas (SAPM 2011) may eventually limit the ongoing decline in distribution of Tapia Forest if management of these reserves is able to eliminate exploitative activities such as wood harvesting and charcoal production. However, present rates of decline are poorly known, and therefore there is limited data to drive any analysis of projected declines. Modelling responses to climate change could provide appropriate data for this criterion but until that is carried out, the rating under sub-criterion A2a should be classified as Data Deficient.

Key Indicators in detail

Evidence of Continuing Decline: Stable or Increasing
Evidence of Threatening Processes: No

A2b



As subcriterion A2a, and present rates of decline are poorly known, and therefore there is limited data to drive any analysis of projected declines. Therefore, Tapia Forest are Data Deficient under sub-criterion A2b.

Key Indicators in detail

Evidence of Continuing Decline: Stable or Increasing
Evidence of Threatening Processes: No

A3



The current distribution of Tapia Forest is most likely a remnant of a larger and more diverse forest (Kull 2003, 2004) transformed since the arrival of humans some 1500 years ago. The increase in human population and activity since 1750 was assumed to have caused that most (though not all) of the decline in the distribution of Tapia Forest. Allowing for a small amount of over-prediction and deforestation prior to 1750, and given qualitative evidence of ongoing declines from the 1990s to present, the decline in distribution since 1750 was therefore assumed to be within the bounds of 70% to 90%. Tapia Forest was thus assessed as Endangered under sub-criterion A3.

Key Indicators in detail

Evidence of Continuing Decline: Decreasing
Evidence of Threatening Processes: Yes

Indicator Variable: Change in distribution

Extent (%): 88.15

Mapped distribution

Year: 1750

Mapped distribution (km2): 26,200-13,900

Year: 1996

Mapped distribution (km2): 2,600

Year: 2007

Mapped distribution (km2): 1,319

Criterion B



Summary

Tapia Forest is often highly fragmented and sparse within the landscape. The minimum convex polygon enclosing all occurrences as mapped in 2000 (Moat and Smith 2007), has an area of 47,485 km². Ongoing human pressures and plausible climate change scenarios suggest that the ecosystem is undergoing a continuing decline in distribution. Although threats are ongoing, they are not likely to rapidly affect the ecosystem. Thus, the ecosystem is classified as Vulnerable considering restricted extent of occurrence (sub-criterion B1aii,b).

Risk Category



Subcriterion Category Justification

B1



The minimum convex polygon enclosing all occurrences as mapped in 2000 (Moat and Smith 2007), has an area of 47,485 km². Ongoing human pressures and plausible climate change scenarios suggest that the ecosystem is undergoing a continuing decline in distribution. Also it has been observed that the ecosystem exhibits less biodiversity (and therefore decline in quality) in areas of frequent fires, especially those areas not formally protected or not safeguarded by local human populations. Therefore, the status of the ecosystem under sub-criterion B1aii,b is Vulnerable.

Key Indicators in detail

Evidence of Continuing Decline: Decreasing
Evidence of Threatening Processes: Yes

Indicator Variable: EOO

Mapped distribution
Year: 2000
Mapped distribution (km2): 47,485

B2



Spatial analysis revealed that 108 10 x 10 km cells were occupied with Tapia Forest, of which 73 had over 1% level of occupancy. This estimate of Area of Occupancy is beyond the 50 grid cells threshold for Vulnerable, and hence Tapia Forest was assigned a status of Least Concern under sub-criterion B2.

Key Indicators in detail

Evidence of Continuing Decline: Decreasing
Evidence of Threatening Processes: Yes

Indicator Variable: AOO

Mapped distribution
Year: 2000
Mapped distribution (10x10-km grid cells): 73

B3



Too little is known about the spatial patterns of threatening processes to estimate the number of locations. Although threats are ongoing, they are not likely to rapidly affect the ecosystem. Hence the second requirement of sub-criterion B3 (increased vulnerability to threats) is therefore unlikely to be met. The status of the ecosystem under sub-criterion B3 is therefore Least Concern.

Key Indicators in detail

Evidence of Continuing Decline: Decreasing
Evidence of Threatening Processes: Yes

Criterion C



Summary

Tapia Forest is too poorly studied to estimate the extent and magnitude of degradation in abiotic components within the ecosystem. The status of the ecosystem is therefore Data Deficient under criterion C.

Risk Category



Subcriterion Category Justification

C1



No data has been collected to assess changes in abiotic variables affecting the ecosystem in the past 50 years.

Key Indicators in detail

Evidence of Threatening Processes: No

C2a



No data has been collected to assess changes in abiotic variables affecting the ecosystem in the next 50 years.

Key Indicators in detail

Evidence of Threatening Processes: No

C2b

No data has been collected to assess changes in abiotic variables affecting the ecosystem in a 50-year window.

DD

Key Indicators in detail

Evidence of Threatening Processes: No

C3

DD

No data has been collected to assess changes in abiotic variables affecting the ecosystem since 1750.

Key Indicators in detail

Evidence of Threatening Processes: No

Criterion D

DD

Summary

Tapia Forest is too poorly studied to estimate the extent and magnitude of degradation in biotic processes and interactions within the ecosystem. The status of the ecosystem is therefore Data Deficient under criterion D.

Risk Category

DD

Subcriterion Category Justification

D1

DD

No data has been collected to assess degradation in biotic processes and interactions within the ecosystem in the past 50 years.

Key Indicators in detail

Evidence of Threatening Processes: No

D2a

DD

No data has been collected to assess degradation in biotic processes and interactions within the ecosystem in the next 50 years.

Key Indicators in detail

Evidence of Threatening Processes: No

D2b

DD

No data has been collected to assess degradation in biotic processes and interactions within the ecosystem in a 50-year window.

Key Indicators in detail

Evidence of Threatening Processes: No

D3

DD

No data has been collected to assess degradation in biotic processes and interactions within the ecosystem since 1750.

Key Indicators in detail

Evidence of Threatening Processes: No

Criterion E

DD

Summary

No quantitative analysis has been carried out to assess the risk of ecosystem collapse for Tapia Forest.

Risk Category

DD

Cited References

- Akcakaya HR, 2000, CONSERV BIOL, V14, P1001, DOI 10.1046/j.1523-1739.2000.99125.x.
- Allen CR, 2005, ECOSYSTEMS, V8, P958, DOI 10.1007/s10021-005-0147-x.
- Alvarez-Filip L, 2009, P R SOC B, V276, P3019, DOI 10.1098/rspb.2009.0339.
- Andren H, 1996, OIKOS, V76, P235, DOI 10.2307/3546195.
- Araoz E, 2010, ECOSYSTEMS, V13, P992, DOI 10.1007/s10021-010-9369-7.
- Arias-Gonzalez JE, 2012, BIODIVERS CONSERV, V21, P115, DOI 10.1007/s10531-011-0169-y.
- Ayensu E, 1999, SCIENCE, V286, P685, DOI 10.1126/science.286.5440.685.
- Baillie J., 2004, IUCN RED LIST THREAT.
- Barbone E, 2010, REND LINCENI SCI FIS, V21, P301, DOI 10.1007/s12210-010-0090-4.
- Benson J. S., 2006, Cunninghamia, V9, P331.
- Blab J, 1995, LANDSCAPE ECOL, V10, P41, DOI 10.1007/BF00158552.
- Blodgett N, 2010, INT J WILDLAND FIRE, V19, P415, DOI 10.1071/WF08162.
- Briske DD, 2005, RANGELAND ECOL MANAG, V58, P1, DOI 10.2111/1551-5028(2005)58(1:SMTARH)2.0.CO;2.
- Burgman M, 2005, RISKS DECISIONS CONS.
- Burgman M.A., 1993, RISK ASSESSMENT CONS.
- Burgman MA, 1999, RISK ANAL, V19, P585, DOI 10.1111/j.1539-6924.1999.tb00430.x.
- Burgman MA, 2003, ANIM CONSERV, V6, P19, DOI 10.1017/S1367943003003044.
- Butchart SHM, 2004, PLOS BIOL, V2, P2294, DOI 10.1371/journal.pbio.0020383.
- Butchart SHM, 2010, SCIENCE, V328, P1164, DOI 10.1126/science.1187512.
- Byrne M, 2008, BIOL J LINN SOC, V93, P177, DOI 10.1111/j.1095-8312.2007.00946.x.
- Cabezas A, 2009, HYDROL EARTH SYST SC, V13, P1.
- Calcano V, 2011, AM NAT, V177, pE1, DOI 10.1086/657436.
- Cardinale BJ, 2007, P NATL ACAD SCI USA, V104, P18123, DOI 10.1073/pnas.0709069104.
- Cardinale BJ, 2011, AM J BOT, V98, P572, DOI 10.3732/ajb.1000364.
- Cardinale BJ, 2012, NATURE, V486, P59, DOI 10.1038/nature11148.
- Carpenter S, 2001, ECOSYSTEMS, V4, P765, DOI 10.1007/s10021-001-0045-9.
- Carpenter S. R., 2003, EXCELLENCE ECOLOGY S.
- Caughley G, 1994, J ANIM ECOL, V63, P215, DOI 10.2307/5542.
- Chytry M, 2002, J VEG SCI, V13, P79, DOI 10.1658/1100-9233(2002)013{{}}0079:DODSWS]2.0.CO;2.
- Clarke PJ, 2005, J VEG SCI, V16, P237, DOI 10.1658/1100-9233(2005)016{{}}0237:LCISVI]2.0.CO;2.
- Comin Francisco, 2010, ECOLOGICAL RESTORATI.
- Connell JH, 1977, AM NAT, V111, P1119, DOI 10.1086/283241.
- Costello MJ, 2009, MAR ECOL PROG SER, V397, P253, DOI 10.3354/meps08317.
- Costello MJ, 2010, ENVIRON SCI TECHNOL, V44, P8821, DOI 10.1021/es1012752.
- Cowling RM, 2008, P NATL ACAD SCI USA, V105, P9483, DOI 10.1073/pnas.0706559105.
- Curran LM, 2006, P NATL ACAD SCI USA, V103, P12663, DOI 10.1073/pnas.0605449103.
- Czembor CA, 2009, FOREST ECOL MANAG, V259, P165, DOI 10.1016/j.foreco.2009.10.002.
- Danovaro R, 2008, CURR BIOL, V18, P1, DOI 10.1016/j.cub.2007.11.056.
- Del Moral R, 2007, J VEG SCI, V18, P479, DOI 10.1658/1100-9233(2007)18{{}}479:LTCOVD]2.0.CO;2.
- Diamond JM, 1989, PHILOS T ROY SOC B, V325, P469, DOI 10.1098/rstb.1989.0100.
- Dirzo R, 2003, ANNU REV ENV RESOUR, V28, P137, DOI 10.1146/annurev.energy.28.050302.105532.
- Duffy JE, 2007, ECOL LETT, V10, P522, DOI 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01037.x.
- Eisenhauer N, 2011, PLOS ONE, V6, DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0016055.
- Elith J, 2006, ECOGEOGRAPHY, V29, P129, DOI 10.1111/j.2006.0906-7590.04596.x.
- Essl F, 2012, BIODIVERS CONSERV, V21, P655, DOI 10.1007/s10531-011-0206-x.
- Estes JA, 2009, PHILOS T R SOC B, V364, P1647, DOI 10.1098/rstb.2008.0231.
- Faber-Langendoen D., 2009, B ECOL SOC AM, V90, P87.
- Fischer J, 2007, ECOSYSTEMS, V10, P964, DOI 10.1007/s10021-007-9064-5.
- Folke C, 2004, ANNU REV ECOL EVOL S, V35, P557, DOI 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.35.021103.105711.
- Fontaine C, 2006, PLOS BIOL, V4, P129, DOI 10.1371/journal.pbio.0040001.
- Fritz H, 2011, ECOGEOGRAPHY, V34, P196, DOI 10.1111/j.1600-0587.2010.06537.x.

Fukami T, 2005, P ROY SOC B-BIOL SCI, V272, P2105, DOI 10.1098/rspb.2005.3277.

Fulton EA, 2011, FISH FISH, V12, P171, DOI 10.1111/j.1467-2979.2011.00412.x.

Gaston K. J., 1993, RARITY.

Gaston KJ, 2008, TRENDS ECOL EVOL, V23, P14, DOI 10.1016/j.tree.2007.11.001.

Goudard A, 2008, AM NAT, V171, P91, DOI 10.1086/523945.

Green PT, 2011, ECOLOGY, V92, P1758, DOI 10.1890/11-0050.1.

Hahs AK, 2009, ECOL LETT, V12, P1165, DOI 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01372.x.

Hallenbeck W.H., 1986, QUANTITATIVE RISK AS.

Hannah J, 2012, J GEOPHYS RES-OCEANS, V117, DOI 10.1029/2011JC007591.

Hanski I, 1998, NATURE, V396, P41, DOI 10.1038/23876.

Harpole WS, 2007, NATURE, V446, P791, DOI 10.1038/nature05684.

Hartley S, 2003, CONSERV BIOL, V17, P1559, DOI 10.1111/j.1523-1739.2003.00015.x.

Hector A, 2007, NATURE, V448, P188, DOI 10.1038/nature05947.

Heemsbergen DA, 2004, SCIENCE, V306, P1019, DOI 10.1126/science.1101865.

He FL, 2011, NATURE, V473, P368, DOI 10.1038/nature09985.

Hobbs RJ, 2006, GLOBAL ECOL BIOGEOGR, V15, P1, DOI 10.1111/j.1466-822x.2006.00212.x.

Hobbs R.J., 2009, NEW MODELS ECOSYSTEM.

Hoekstra JM, 2005, ECOL LETT, V8, P23, DOI 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2004.00686.x.

Holling C.S., 1973, Annual Rev Ecol Syst, V4, P1, DOI 10.1146/annurev.es.04.110173.000245.

Holling CS, 2001, ECOSYSTEMS, V4, P390, DOI 10.1007/s10021-001-0101-5.

Hong S, 2010, J CLIMATE, V23, P4669, DOI 10.1175/2010JCLI3697.1.

Hooper DU, 2005, ECOL MONOGR, V75, P3, DOI 10.1890/04-0922.

Hooper DU, 2012, NATURE, V486, P105, DOI 10.1038/nature11118.

Huth N, 2011, J APPL ECOL, V48, P293, DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2664.2010.01936.x.

Isbell F, 2011, NATURE, V477, P199, DOI 10.1038/nature10282.

IUCN, 2001, RED LIST CAT CRIT.

IUCN, 2011, GUID APPL IUCN RED L.

Jacquez GM, 2008, ENVIRON ECOL STAT, V15, P403, DOI 10.1007/s10651-007-0066-4.

Jax K, 1998, OIKOS, V82, P253, DOI 10.2307/3546965.

Jennings MD, 2009, ECOL MONOGR, V79, P173, DOI 10.1890/07-1804.1.

Keith DA, 1998, CONSERV BIOL, V12, P1076, DOI 10.1046/j.1523-1739.1998.97202.x.

Keith DA, 2004, BIOL CONSERV, V117, P41, DOI 10.1016/S0006-3207(03)00261-1.

Keith DA, 2007, J ECOL, V95, P1324, DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2745.2007.01302.x.

Keith DA, 2009, BIOL CONSERV, V142, P1469, DOI 10.1016/j.biocon.2009.02.015.

Keith David A., 2009, Ecological Management and Restoration, V10, pS3, DOI 10.1111/j.1442-8903.2009.00453.x.

King KJ, 2006, INT J WILDLAND FIRE, V15, P527, DOI 10.1071/WF05076.

Klemas V, 2010, J COASTAL RES, V26, P789, DOI 10.2112/10A-00012.1.

Kontula T, 2009, BIODIVERS CONSERV, V18, P3861, DOI 10.1007/s10531-009-9684-5.

Lapointe NWR, 2010, J FISH BIOL, V76, P446, DOI 10.1111/j.1095-8649.2009.02470.x.

Larsen TH, 2005, ECOL LETT, V8, P538, DOI 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2005.00749.x.

Lee JK, 1992, PHOTOGRAMM ENG REM S, V58, P1579.

Lester RE, 2011, ECOL MODEL, V222, P2690, DOI 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2011.05.009.

Likens G. E., 1992, ECOSYSTEM APPROACH I.

Lindenmayer DB, 2006, HABITAT FRAGMENTATIO.

Lindenmayer DB, 2011, ECOSYSTEMS, V14, P47, DOI 10.1007/s10021-010-9394-6.

Lindenmayer DB, 2012, AUSTRAL ECOL, V37, P745, DOI 10.1111/j.1442-9993.2011.02351.x.

Loreau M, 2000, OIKOS, V91, P3, DOI 10.1034/j.1600-0706.2000.910101.x.

Loreau M, 2003, P NATL ACAD SCI USA, V100, P12765, DOI 10.1073/pnas.2235465100.

Loreau M, 2010, PHILOS T R SOC B, V365, P49, DOI 10.1098/rstb.2009.0155.

Ludwig JA, 2007, ECOL INDIC, V7, P442, DOI 10.1016/j.ecolind.2006.05.001.

Lundberg J, 2003, ECOSYSTEMS, V6, P87, DOI 10.1007/s10021-002-0150-4.

Mac Arthur Robert H., 1967.

Mace GM, 2008, CONSERV BIOL, V22, P1424, DOI 10.1111/j.1523-1739.2008.01044.x.

Mac Nally R., 2011, WATER RESOURCES RES, V47.

McCarthy MA, 2008, J APPL ECOL, V45, P1428, DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2664.2008.01521.x.

McKnight MW, 2007, PLOS BIOL, V5, P2424, DOI 10.1371/journal.pbio.0050272.

Mearns AJ, 2010, WATER ENVIRON RES, V82, P2001, DOI 10.2175/106143010X12756668802175.

Metternicht GI, 2003, REMOTE SENS ENVIRON, V85, P1, DOI 10.1016/S0034-4257(02)00188-8.

Micklin P, 2006, EURASIAN GEOGR ECON, V47, P546, DOI 10.2747/1538-7216.47.5.546.

Midgley GF, 2010, ECOGAPHY, V33, P612, DOI 10.1111/j.1600-0587.2009.06000.x.

Miller G, 2010, RANGELAND J, V32, P353, DOI 10.1071/RJ09076.

Miller RM, 2007, CONSERV BIOL, V21, P684, DOI 10.1111/j.1523-1739.2007.00656.x.

Moberg F, 1999, ECOL ECON, V29, P215, DOI 10.1016/S0921-8009(99)00009-9.

Molnar JL, 2008, FRONT ECOL ENVIRON, V6, P485, DOI 10.1890/070064.

Mucina L, 2006, VEGETATION S AFRICA.

Nicholson E, 2009, CONSERV BIOL, V23, P259, DOI 10.1111/j.1523-1739.2008.01158.x.

Noss RF, 1996, TRENDS ECOL EVOL, V11, P351, DOI 10.1016/0169-5347(96)20058-8.

Olson DM, 2001, BIOSCIENCE, V51, P933, DOI 10.1641/0006-3568(2001)051[{}0933:TEOTWA]2.0.CO;2.

Patrick WS, 2010, FISH B-NOAA, V108, P305.

Peterson CH, 2003, SCIENCE, V302, P2082, DOI 10.1126/science.1084282.

Peterson G, 1998, ECOSYSTEMS, V1, P6, DOI 10.1007/s100219900002.

Pickett S T A, 1989, P110.

Pickett STA, 2001, APPL VEG SCI, V4, P41, DOI 10.1111/j.1654-109X.2001.tb00233.x.

Pickett STA, 2002, ECOSYSTEMS, V5, P1, DOI 10.1007/s10021-001-0051-y.

Possingham HP, 2002, TRENDS ECOL EVOL, V17, P503, DOI 10.1016/S0169-5347(02)02614-9.

Pounds JA, 1999, NATURE, V398, P611, DOI 10.1038/19297.

Rackham Oliver, 1986, HIST COUNTRYSIDE.

Radford JQ, 2005, BIOL CONSERV, V124, P317, DOI 10.1016/j.biocon.2005.01.039.

Ramirez-Llodra E, 2010, BIOGEOSCIENCES, V7, P2851, DOI 10.5194/bg-7-2851-2010.

Regan HM, 2002, ECOL APPL, V12, P618, DOI 10.1890/1051-0761(2002)012[{}0618:ATATOU]2.0.CO;2.

Rhymer JM, 1996, ANNU REV ECOL SYST, V27, P83, DOI 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.27.1.83.

Riecken U, 2002, NATUR LANDSCHAFT, V77, P397.

Ripple WJ, 2004, BIOSCIENCE, V54, P755, DOI 10.1641/0006-3568(2004)054[{}0755:WATEOF]2.0.CO;2.

Rodrigues ASL, 2006, TRENDS ECOL EVOL, V21, P71, DOI 10.1016/j.tree.2005.10.010.

Rodriguez JP, 2007, BIODIVERS CONSERV, V16, P183, DOI 10.1007/s10531-006-9102-1.

Rodriguez JP, 2011, CONSERV BIOL, V25, P21, DOI 10.1111/j.1523-1739.2010.01598.x.

Rodriguez JP, 2012, SAPIENS, V5, P6.

Rogers CS, 1990, MAR ECOL PROG SER, V62, P185, DOI 10.3354/meps062185.

Rosensweig ML, 1995, SPECIES DIVERSITY SP.

Rumpff L, 2011, BIOL CONSERV, V144, P1224, DOI 10.1016/j.biocon.2010.10.026.

Sang A, 2010, BIOL CONSERV, V143, P1405, DOI 10.1016/j.biocon.2010.03.015.

Scheffer M, 2001, NATURE, V413, P591, DOI 10.1038/35098000.

Schmitz OJ, 2000, AM NAT, V155, P141, DOI 10.1086/303311.

Scholze M, 2006, P NATL ACAD SCI USA, V103, P13116, DOI 10.1073/pnas.0601816103.

Shi JM, 2010, BIODIVERS CONSERV, V19, P1279, DOI 10.1007/s10531-009-9757-5.

Solow AR, 2005, MATH BIOSCI, V195, P47, DOI 10.1016/j.mbs.2005.02.001.

Spalding MD, 2007, BIOSCIENCE, V57, P573, DOI 10.1641/B570707.

Springer AM, 2003, P NATL ACAD SCI USA, V100, P12223, DOI 10.1073/pnas.1635156100.

Srivastava DS, 2005, ANNU REV ECOL EVOL S, V36, P267, DOI 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.36.102003.152636.

Staver AC, 2009, ECOL APPL, V19, P1909, DOI 10.1890/08-1907.1.

Stoddard JL, 2008, J N AM BENTHOL SOC, V27, P878, DOI 10.1899/08-053.1.

Tansley AG, 1935, ECOLOGY, V16, P284, DOI 10.2307/1930070.

Thebault E, 2005, AM NAT, V166, pE95, DOI 10.1086/444403.

Thebault E, 2007, OIKOS, V116, P163, DOI 10.1111/j.2006.0030-1299.15007.x.

Thompson JN, 1997, ECOLOGICAL BASIS CON.

Tierney GL, 2009, FRONT ECOL ENVIRON, V7, P308, DOI 10.1890/070176.

Tilman D, 1994, NATURE, V371, P65, DOI 10.1038/371065a0.

Tilman D, 2001, SCIENCE, V294, P843, DOI 10.1126/science.1060391.

Todd BJ, 2006, BENTHIC HABITAT SUN.

Underwood AJ, 1989, TRENDS ECOL EVOL, V4, P16, DOI 10.1016/0169-5347(89)90008-6.

Vazquez DP, 2003, ECOL LETT, V6, P1077, DOI 10.1046/j.1461-0248.2003.00534.x.

Visconti P, 2010, BIOL CONSERV, V143, P756, DOI 10.1016/j.biocon.2009.12.018.

Vitousek PM, 1997, SCIENCE, V277, P494, DOI 10.1126/science.277.5325.494.

Vrijenhoek RC, 2010, MOL ECOL, V19, P4391, DOI 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04789.x.

Walker B, 1999, ECOSYSTEMS, V2, P95, DOI 10.1007/s100219900062.

Walters C, 2001, CAN J FISH AQUAT SCI, V58, P39, DOI 10.1139/cjfas-58-1-39.

Watling L, 1998, CONSERV BIOL, V12, P1180, DOI 10.1046/j.1523-1739.1998.0120061180.x.

Westoby M, 1989, J RANGE MANAGE, V42, P266, DOI 10.2307/3899492.

Whittaker R H, 1972, Taxon, V21, P213, DOI 10.2307/1218190.

Wiens JA, 1989, FUNCT ECOL, V3, P385, DOI 10.2307/2389612.

Willis AJ, 1997, FUNCT ECOL, V11, P268, DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2435.1997.00081.x.

Yachi S, 1999, P NATL ACAD SCI USA, V96, P1463, DOI 10.1073/pnas.96.4.1463.

Yamano H, 2004, REMOTE SENS ENVIRON, V90, P86, DOI 10.1016/j.rse.2003.12.005.

Zhao HL, 2005, J ARID ENVIRON, V62, P309, DOI 10.1016/j.jaridenv.2004.11.009.